	Case 2:24-cv-02129-CDB	Document 39	Filed 11/18/24	Page 1 of 5	
1	Jason Crews 1515 N Gilbert Rd, 107-204				
2	Gilbert, AZ 85234				
3	602-295-1875 Jason.crews@gmail.com				
4	In twotwia towcona				
5	In propria persona				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
8	PHOENIX DIVISION				
9					
10	Jason Crews,		Case No.: cv24-02	2129-PHX-CBD	
11	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3,000 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1			
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.		Second Motion F	or Order To Remove	
14	Donald Hiatt		Confidential Info	rmation From Third-Party	
15	Defendants.		Website		
16					
17	Plaintiff Jason Crews respectfully submits this renewed motion requesting an order				
18	directing the removal of confidential information from the Free Law Project's website				
19	(CourtListener.com). This renewed motion addresses the Court's concern regarding evidence				
20	of the document's presence on the website, as stated in the Court's Order at ECF No. 38.				
21					
22	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES				
23	I. Background				
24	On November 18, 2024, this Court denied Plaintiff's previous motion (ECF No. 37)				
25	because Plaintiff "presents no evidence that any pleading filed in this case containing his				
26	financial information appears on the 'CourtListener.com' website." (ECF No. 38).				
27					
28	111				
		1			

1

II. Evidence of Document's Presence

2

Plaintiff now provides the following evidence:

4

1. The specific URL where the document containing confidential information is located https://storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.azd.1395229/gov.uscourts.azd.

5

1395229.18.0.pdf

6

confirming:

8

a. The document's presence on their website

9

b. Their policy requiring a court order for removal

10

c. Their willingness to comply with such an order

11

III. Legal Standard

1213

As previously noted, federal courts have inherent authority to seal records and order the removal of sensitive information to protect parties from potential harm. See $Nixon\ v$.

2. Direct correspondence from the Free Law Project (attached as Exhibit A)

14

Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978).

15

IV. Argument

16

With the evidence now provided, an order directing removal is warranted because:

17

1. The document's presence on CourtListener.com is now verified through both the specific URL and the Free Law Project's correspondence;

18 19

2. The Free Law Project has explicitly stated they will remove the document upon receipt of a court order;

2021

3. The document contains sensitive banking information that could be used for identity

2223

theft or financial fraud; and
4. This Court has already determined the potential harm to Plaintiff from continued

2425

public access to this sensitive financial information outweighs any public interest in access to these particular documents, and allowing Plaintiff's financial information to

26

remain viewable to the public on CourtListener's website is contrary to that determination.

27

28

///

	Case 2:24-cv-02129-CDB				
1	V. Relief Requested				
2	Plaintiff requests that the Court issue an order:				
3	1. Directing the Free Law Project to remove the specific document identified by URL				
4	above;				
5	2. Requiring compliance within fourteen (14) days; and				
6	3. Requiring confirmation of compliance.				
7	VI. Conclusion				
8	For the foregoing reasons, and with the evidence now provided, Plaintiff respectfully				
9	requests that the Court grant this renewed motion and issue an order directing the removal				
10	of confidential information from the Free Law Project's website.				
11					
12					
13	Dated: November 12, 2024				
14	/s/Jason Crews				
15	Jason Crews				
16					
17					
18	COPIES of the forgoing were filed with the court				
19	electronically via CM/ECF this same date.				
20					
21	By: /s/Jason Crews				
22	Jason Crews				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	3				

7**j Z**[T[f3

CS-198 [CourtListener] Contact: PII on record

Customer Success to Me	NOV 1					
Reply above this line.						
Free Law Project commented:						
Hello,						
Consistent with our removal policy:						
https://www.courtlistener.com/removal/						
and based on your description, I have requested that the page you referenced and associated files be removed from search engines. We have not removed this page from our website and will not do so without a court order. For details about this policy and an explanation of why we do not remove content from our website, please see the removal policy linked above.						
We cannot control how quickly Google, Bing, Yahoo! etc. act on this, but we have requested of them that they remove these pages from their search engines and they typically do so within a few months. We wish this process were faster on their end, but to do this properly does take some time.						
To expedite this, you may want to use Google's tool for removing outdated content as explained he						
https://support.google.com/webmasters/answer/7041154						
You should understand that we and many other sites retrieve the documents from the courts' websites and other third parties that collect court documents, where they may still be available. We also cannot control the availability of the document(s) on the courts' websites or on other sites that collect and distribute public court documents. You may wish to contact other sites that host the document directly If this item says that it is "not to be published" or "not for publication" or similar, this has a specific legal meaning. Please see the explanation in our FAQ, here: https://www.courtlistener.com/faq/#non-precedential						
					If you have a court order requiring that the docket be sealed or otherwise redaction reply.	cted, you may send it in
					We only control the <u>CourtListener.com</u> domain. We cannot do anything about I other website.	inks that are on any
Thank you						
Free Law Project changed the status to Done.						
Automation for Jira resolved this as Done.						
How was our service for this request?						
Very poor Poor Average Good Very good						
View request · Turn off this request's notifications This is shared with jason.crews@gmail.com. Powered by Jira Service Management						
Michael Lissner to Me	3:49 PN					

Reply above this line.

Michael Lissner commented:

 $Hello\ Jason.\ If\ you\ have\ any\ court\ orders\ to\ send,\ you\ can\ do\ so\ in\ reply\ to\ this\ email.$

View request · Turn off this request's notifications

This is shared with Jason Crews.

Powered by Jira Service Management